



A comparative study of personality trait between B.P.ED & B.ED students of Aurangabad

Choudhari Qasar Jahan¹ and Dr. Imran Ramzan²

¹ Tanzil College Aurangabad, Maharashtra, India

² Marathwada College of Education, Aurangabad, Maharashtra, India

Abstract

The manner in which the person's behaviour shows the kind of personality he possesses. Personality is the whole man, his inherited aptitudes & capacities all his past learning, & the integration and synthesis of these factors into characteristics into behaviour pattern, "his ideas, values and expectations".

Keywords: Personality, comparative, personality, capacities

Introduction

Sports psychology studies sporting behaviour. Behaviour is the manifestation of personality adequate personality reinforce his/her capacities and potentialities.

Significance of Research

The purpose of the study is to develop an awareness regarding the importance of personality traits that determine the total behaviour of an individual. This is why the researcher has selected the topic.

Objectives of the Study

The main objective of the study was to differentiate the different personalities, extroversion & neuroticism between B.P. Ed and B. Ed students of Aurangabad.

Hypothesis of the Study

1. There would be no significant difference among different personality trait between B.P.Ed and B.Ed. Students (Null Hypothesis)
2. The extroversion scale would be more in B.P.Ed students as compared to B.Ed. Students (H1)
3. The B.Ed. student would be more neurotic as compared to B.P. Ed. students (H2)
4. The B.P.Ed students would be more socially desirable than B.Ed. students (H3)

Sample

A total number of 50 students from B.P.Ed & B.Ed classes were selected randomly through case study method. A number of 25

students from Tanzil B.ED. College of education & 25 from Marathwada Sanskrutik Mandal's college Aurangabad of physical education were selected for study. A questionnaire was used to collect information from their personality trait difference.

Variables

Independent variable: personality trait. Dependent variable: B.P. Ed & B. Ed students. Intervening variable, comprised of the age, educational level and their environment.

Procedure

The subjects were selected randomly through case study method to compare their personality trait differences, for this purpose, some scales of personality were used i.e, Lie-Scale of social desirability, extraversion and neuroticism, the students were given a questionnaire containing 57 questions regarding how they feel, act and behave they were given 10 minutes to write the answer.

Statistical Method

Mean, Standard Deviation and collection of data with t-test were used. The data were collected by case study method using questionnaire schedule to obtain data the 50 students of Aurangabad district were selected for the study out of which 25 from B.P.Ed class and 25 from B.Ed. College were selected. Each student was given a questionnaire to respond in Yes/No in 10 minutes regarding how they feel, act & behave the questionnaire was compared of 57 questions for all the students.




Fig 1: Showing the comparison between extroversion in B.P.Ed and B.Ed. students

After the collection of questionnaire from each student of both the groups, their mean and standard deviation values were drawn & compared to each other.




Fig 2: Showing the comparison between extroversion in B.P.Ed and B.Ed. students

Conclusion

The first hypothesis is that there is no significant difference among different personality trait is rejected (H0 null hypothesis rejected). The second hypothesis is that the extraversion scale is more in B.P.Ed students as compared to B.Ed. students is accepted (H1 accepted). The third hypothesis that the B.Ed. students are more neurotic as compared to B.P.Ed students is rejected (H2 is rejected). The fourth hypothesis that the B.Ed. students would be more socially desirable is rejected (H3 is rejected)

Suggestions

By having psychological knowledge we can select the right personalities for right profession and perfect coaches should be those who could choose the players by understanding their mental as well as physical problems.

Reference

1. Kote SM. Scientific coaching manual for martial arts 1st edition, 1997.
2. printer: Rana Graphics, Hyd-1 ph.4754406
3. www.trans4mind.com/--/epq.html
4. Sports psychology by Prof. SR. Gangopadhyay pub: 7/26, ground floor, Ansari road Darya Ganj, New Delhi, 2008.
5. Ajmer Singh *et al.* Essentials of physical education Chauhan S.S Educational psychology 6th edition Vikas publishing house Pvt. Ltd. New Delhi, 2000-2004.
6. Kumar educational psychology 1st edition published by Lakshmi Narayan Agarwal Hospital Agra, 1991.
7. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/eysenek/personality_questionnaire
8. Hans Jurgen Eysenek & Sybil B.G. Eysenek Manual of the Eysenk Personality Questionnaire London Hodder and Stoughton, 1975.
9. Jadhav KG, Sachin Pagare B, Shinku Kumar Singh. Research process in physical education and sport; an introduction published by 7/26, L.G.F. Ansari road daryaganj New Delhi, 2007.
10. John Best W, John Khan V, Research in Education 10th edition, Phi Learning Private Ltd New Delhi.